

## Magisterial District Summary Worksheet - Reestablishment 2021-2022

Start by saving the fillable worksheet template locally on your system as a PDF form. Then, open and complete the worksheets in a PDF browser (not a web browser) to ensure all options and functionality are available. Answer the questions by typing or selecting responses. Press TAB or click on a field to advance. Hover the fields for tips and instructions. Save and upload the completed form to SharePoint.

<b>Magisterial District Court Number:</b>	14-3-04	<b>County:</b>	Fayette																				
1. Proposed plan for this magisterial district: Reestablish		2. Effective date: 1/1/2022																					
<h3>Caseload Analysis</h3> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><i>Avg for Magisterial District</i></th> <th><i>Avg for Judicial District</i></th> <th><i>Avg for Class of County</i></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>3. Average total caseloads:</td> <td>A. 4,514</td> <td>B. 4,196</td> <td>C. 4,150</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.</td> <td colspan="2"><i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i></td> <td><i>Ranking</i> 318 <i>Total</i> 4th out of 7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.</td> <td colspan="2"><i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i></td> <td><i>% Above/Below</i> 364 +8 %</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.</td> <td colspan="3"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>	3. Average total caseloads:	A. 4,514	B. 4,196	C. 4,150	4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.	<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>		<i>Ranking</i> 318 <i>Total</i> 4th out of 7	5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.	<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>		<i>% Above/Below</i> 364 +8 %	6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.			
	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	<i>Avg for Class of County</i>																				
3. Average total caseloads:	A. 4,514	B. 4,196	C. 4,150																				
4. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your judicial district caseload average.	<i>Difference (3A - 3B)</i>		<i>Ranking</i> 318 <i>Total</i> 4th out of 7																				
5. Compare the difference between the caseload average of this magisterial district to your class of county caseload average.	<i>Difference (3A - 3C)</i>		<i>% Above/Below</i> 364 +8 %																				
6. If this magisterial district is at the lower end of the caseload range <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> (no changes), please summarize your response from the plan that explains why you are departing from caseload equity.																							
<h3>Workload Analysis</h3> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><i>Avg for Magisterial District</i></th> <th><i>Avg for Judicial District</i></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>7. Average total workloads:</td> <td>A. 40,486</td> <td>B. 41,238</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.</td> <td colspan="2"><i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i> -752 <i>% Above/Below</i> -2 %</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain (<i>summarize your response from the plan</i>) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.</td> <td colspan="3"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>	7. Average total workloads:	A. 40,486	B. 41,238	8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i> -752 <i>% Above/Below</i> -2 %		9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain ( <i>summarize your response from the plan</i> ) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.										
	<i>Avg for Magisterial District</i>	<i>Avg for Judicial District</i>																					
7. Average total workloads:	A. 40,486	B. 41,238																					
8. Compare the difference between the average total workloads of this magisterial district to the judicial district.	<i>Difference (7A - 7B)</i> -752 <i>% Above/Below</i> -2 %																						
9. If this magisterial district's average workload is fifteen (15%) percent <i>higher or lower than</i> your judicial district average workload <u>and</u> you are proposing to <u>reestablish</u> this magisterial district, please explain ( <i>summarize your response from the plan</i> ) why this does not result in an unwarranted inequity among the judges.																							

<b>Magisterial District Information</b>			
<b>10. Magisterial District Judge (MDJ) Information:</b>			
RICHARD A. KASUNIC, II <i>Magisterial District Judge Name</i>	1/4/26 <i>Birthdate</i>	1/1/49 <i>Term Expiration Date</i>	1/1/49 <i>Mandatory Retirement Date</i>
<b>11. Magisterial District Court Information - Physical Location:</b>			
3177 PITTSBURGH STREET, PO BOX 409, STAR JUNCTION, PA 15482			
12. Is this court within the boundaries of the magisterial district?		Yes	
13. Is the MDJ's residence within the boundaries of the magisterial district?		Yes	
14. Are all portions of the magisterial district contiguous?		Yes	
15. To the best of your knowledge, are there any planned developments such as a mall, highway expansion or gas drilling that will likely cause an increase in the case filings for this office? If YES, please summarize your response below.		No/Not Sure	
<b>16. List any police departments located within this magisterial district.</b>			
DUNBAR BOROUGH, PERRYOPOLIS BOROUGH, SOUTHWEST REGIONAL, FAYETTE CITY <span style="float: right;">+</span>			
<b>17. List any major highways within this magisterial district.</b>			
RT. 201, RT. 51, RT. 119, RT. 70			
<b>18. List the <u>current</u> municipalities for this magisterial district (alphabetically). For a list, click <a href="#">HERE</a> for Realignment Orders submitted in the past.</b>			
BELLE VERNON BOROUGH, DAWSON BOOUGH, DUNBAR BOROUGH, EVERSON BOROUGH, FAYETTE CITY BOROUGH, PERYOPOLIS BOROUGH, NEWELL BOROUGH, VANDERBILT BOROUGH, DUNBAR TOWNSHIP, FANKLIN TOWNSHIP, JEFFERSON TOWNSHIP, LOWER TYRONE TOWNSHIP, PERRY TOWNSHIP, UPPER TYRONE TOWNSHIP, WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP			
<b>19. Are the <u>proposed</u> municipalities the same as above? If NO, please list all proposed municipalities (alphabetically).</b>		Yes	
<b>20. Additional Comments:</b>			